THE INFLUENCE OF TEMPERATURE FLUCTUATION ON THE STABILITY OF PARTIAL NITRITATION APPLIED FOR REJECT WATER TREATMENT

The influence of sudden and gradual temperature fluctuations on the stability of partial nitritation applied for the treatment of reject water containing ammonium nitrogen (NH$_4^+$/N) in the range of 1000 – 1400 mg/dm$^3$ was investigated. It was found that a sudden decrease in temperature from 24.3 °C to 14.3 °C and 15.8 °C significantly affected the stability of the process. No negative effect was recorded after a sudden temperature decrease from 24.3 to 17.4 °C. It was also found that during a gradual temperature decrease from 24.3 °C, process stability was negatively affected at 12.7 °C.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nitrogen removal during wastewater treatment is traditionally ensured by the biological process of nitrification/denitrification. In some cases, the application of this method is complicated; the treatment of effluent with a low concentration ratio of COD:N is especially problematic. Multiple innovative biological methods have been implemented in order to treat these types of wastewater. These methods are based on the accumulation of nitrite during the nitrification process. The procedures include a process of nitritation/denitritation and of partial nitritation combined with anaerobic ammonium oxidation (anammox). Nitritation/denitritation is based on the fact that under specific conditions it is possible to stop N-ammon oxidation by controlling nitrite levels. Under ideal operational conditions, it is possible to reduce up to 25% of the oxygen consumed/needed during nitritation as compared with nitrification, and up to 40%
of organic substrate during denitrification as compared with denitrification, resulting in a faster process and a reduced volume of grown biomass [1, 2].

Another innovative biological method is an anammox process based on a microbial-mediated reaction between nitrite ions produced during nitritation and ammonium ions remaining in the treated water. Elemental nitrogen is generated during this process [3–5]. No organic carbon is needed for the satisfactory operation of the anammox process. Simultaneously, the process requires a theoretical 1:1.32 ratio of NH₄⁺-N to NO₂⁻-N. Correspondingly, only ca. 60% of NH₄⁺-N should theoretically be converted to NO₂⁻-N, meaning partial nitritation is needed as a first stage for subsequent anammox [6, 7]. In contrast, for efficient nitritation/denitrification it is necessary to transform all NH₄⁺-N into NO₂⁻-N before its reduction to dinitrogen gas. Therefore, oxygen demand is significantly lower for partial nitritation/anammox compared to nitritation/denitrification. Both processes could be operated in a one-step setup or in two separated reactors (one for nitritation/partial nitritation and one for denitrification or anammox [8–13]).

Reject water arising during the thickening and dewatering of digested sludge, which is characterized by very high NH₄⁺-N concentrations, is a typical wastewater suitable for the application of the methods mentioned above [8]. Nitrite accumulation, which is the basis for nitritation and partial nitritation, may be stimulated by intervening with the nitrification system in a way that suppresses nitrite oxidizing bacteria (NOB) while preserving the function of ammonia oxidizing bacteria (AOB). The aim is to get the biomass to a point at which the activity of AOB exceeds the activity of NOB. The main factors that can be used to stop the nitrification on nitrite level are limited concentration of dissolved oxygen [14, 15] or low sludge age [16]. Nitritation or partial nitritation is successfully achieved in the sequencing batch reactor (SBR) thanks to strong fluctuations of free ammonia (FA) and free nitrous acid (FNA) concentrations [9, 17]. These chemical substances selectively inhibit NOB activity in a specific concentration range [18–20].

Temperature is an important factor affecting the nitrification process on a number of counts. Nitrifying organisms are generally very sensitive to temperature; optimum levels lie in the range of 28–32 °C. With a temperature decrease of 10 °C, the nitrification rate decreased by ca. 50% [21]. Higher temperatures facilitate the accumulation of nitrite [22]. The combined effect of high temperature with sludge retention time (SRT) limitation is the principle of the single reactor system for high activity ammonium removal over nitrite (SHARON) process [8]. Current systems of separate biological treatment of reject water based on the accumulation of nitrites are usually operated at a temperature in the range of ca. 30–40 °C [8, 23]. Reject water is characterized by high temperatures, but from a technological point of view it can be difficult to maintain temperatures within the required/ideal range during the winter. Any heating of the reactor significantly deteriorates the economy of the process. Therefore, it seems appropriate to look for technological alternatives that do not require temperature control [24]. The possibility of operating high nitrogen loaded SBR systems treating reject water at relatively
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Low temperatures have been previously confirmed. Partial nitritation with flocculent biomass was successfully applied at 23±2 °C [17] for this purpose. This value seems to be sustainable throughout most seasons thanks to the high temperature of raw reject water produced during the thickening and dewatering of sludge digested under mesophilic or thermophilic conditions [25]. However, it is necessary to consider the effects of temporary drops below these values during the winter, and the stability of partial nitritation (and full nitritation as well) after significant decreases in temperature may be disrupted in the case of particularly strong fluctuations in temperature.

This work aimed to evaluate partial nitritation temperature resistance during separate reject water treatment applied in a system using flocculent biomass operated on the SBR principle. Based on [17], 25 °C was selected as an adjusted starting temperature value, where the effect of sudden temperature decreases with variable intensity on process stability was assessed. Simultaneously, the determination of the minimal temperature value enabling satisfactory operation of the system was also an aim of this study. Tests simulating slow temperature decreases from an initial adjusted temperature of 25 °C were realized for this purpose.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

Reactor set-up. A laboratory model of a nitrifying reactor made of plastic (0.75 dm³ in volume) was operated with flocculent biomass cultivated in the form of activated sludge. The model was placed in a thermostatic box with the aim of ensuring optimal conditions for temperature control. Peristaltic pumps and silicone tubes were used for the transport of treated water into the reactor. The reactor was aerated using the coarse bubble system. It was operated on the SBR principle with two 12-hour cycles per day. Each cycle consisted of the following repeated phases: inflow of treated water into the reactor (ca. 10 min), the working phase, when the reactor volume was aerated (11 h 20 min), sedimentation (ca. 20 min), and drainage of reject water from the reactor (ca. 10 min). Based on the results of previous tests [9, 17], the system described above was selected as a suitable technological arrangement for the effective partial nitritation of reject water. The nitrogen loading rate (NLR) was maintained at 0.2 kg/(m³·d). The concentration of dissolved oxygen in the reactor was not limited: at the beginning of the cycle the concentration was 6.1 mg O₂/dm³ on average and at the end of the cycle it was 7.7 mg O₂/dm³ on average. The pH value was not controlled. The concentration of volatile suspended solids (VSS) ranged between 0.25 and 3 g/dm³. The stability of the partial nitritation process after the temperature changes was evaluated based mainly on the changes in pH and representation of nitrogen compounds in the effluent.

Treated water. Reject water from the Central Waste Water Treatment Plant in Prague was used. Its quality parameters are shown in Table 1.
Table 1

Composition of reject water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.1–8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkalinity, mmol/dm³</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>70–121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P total, mg P/dm³</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>23–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH₄-N, mg NH₄/d</td>
<td>1336</td>
<td>985–1734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD, mg O₂/dm³</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>1145–4600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD soluble, mg O₂/dm³</td>
<td>1532</td>
<td>770–2322</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSS, g/dm³</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.1–5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The method of temperature changes. The laboratory model described above was operated for 392 days. The operational time was divided into 8 periods (1–8). A schedule of temperature changes during the experiment is shown in Table 2.

Table 2

Schedule of changes of temperature during the experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operational period</th>
<th>Days</th>
<th>Adjusted temperature [°C]</th>
<th>Real temperature [°C]</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0–13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24–24.7</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>14–46</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.1–14.5</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>47–171</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24–24.7</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>172–192</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17.1–17.6</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>193–228</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24–24.7</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>229–276</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>15.5–15.9</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>277–360</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24–24.7</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>361–392</td>
<td>(25→13)</td>
<td>12.7–24.3</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>361</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>362</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>363</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>364–371</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16.2–16.4</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>372–375</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.2–14.6</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>376–392</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12.5–12.9</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

During this time the temperature in the system was suddenly changed several times (operational periods 1–7). The value of 25 °C was selected as an initial adjusted temperature (period 1) in accordance with the conditions applied within previous tests [17]. During periods 2, 4, and 6, sudden drops in temperature with variable intensities (one by one to 15, 18 and 16.5 °C) were simulated with the aim of determining the maximum
intensity of temperature decrease the system is able to accept without significantly decreasing the stability of the treatment process under the given conditions. Within periods 3, 5 and 7, the temperature was restored to the original value (25 °C) with the aim of stabilising the process at this temperature before another simulated drop. In the last period of the experiment (period 8, days 361–392), the temperature was decreased gradually with the aim of determining the minimum temperature enabling satisfactory operation of the tested system under the given conditions.

The duration of particular periods was selected with respect to the stability of the treatment process before changes to the temperature regime. Therefore, significant differences can be recorded between the duration of particular periods. Period 1 lasted only 13 days due to the fact that the system was operated under comparable conditions for a period of one month before the initiation of period 1. The only difference was that temperature was based on actual temperature in the laboratory (23±2 °C) instead of artificial control in the thermostatic box. The standard duration of individual periods was around 1 month. However, in cases where significant disruption to process stability was recorded after a radical fall in temperature during particular periods, the operational time was extended (e.g. period 6). Simultaneously, the operational time within periods with the initial temperature of 25 °C (periods 3 and 7) was significantly extended in cases where process stability was disrupted by radical temperature falls within the previous periods with the aim of stabilizing the intensity and performance of the treatment process to levels comparable with period 1.

Real temperature values measured in the reactor differed to a certain extent from adjusted values in the thermostatic box. Simultaneously, the results proved that relatively minimal differences between actual values of temperature may result in significant changes to process stability. Therefore, real average temperature values, with accuracy to tenths of °C, are presented throughout the whole text of this paper (Table 2).

**Analytical methods.** The spectrophotometric measurement of various forms of nitrogen (mg NH₄+/dm³, NO₂−, NO₃−) and chemical oxygen demand (COD) was performed using a HACH (Hach Lange GmbH, Germany) DR/4000 photometer, and total suspended solids (TSS) and volatile suspended solids (VSS) were determined gravimetrically. All measurements were performed according to Standard Methods [26]. P-total concentration was determined with a HACH DR/4000 photometer by HACH method No. 8190. Alkalinity was determined by titration of the sample with hydrochloric acid (0.1 mol/dm³) up to pH 4.5. Temperature, pH and dissolved oxygen concentration were measured by WTW instruments pH 340i and oxi 340i (WTW Wissenschaftlich-Technische Werkstätten GmbH, Germany). The pH value was continuously monitored using a GRYF sensor PCl 321 (GRYF HB, Czech Republic).

**Calculations.** The concentrations of FA (C_{FA}) and FNA (C_{FNA}) were calculated in accordance with Anthonisen et al. [18] and Park and Bae [27]:
\[ C_{FA} = \frac{17}{14} \frac{10^{pH} C_{NH_4^+}}{\exp \left( \frac{6334}{273 + T} \right) + 10^{pH}} \text{ [mg/dm}^3 \text{ NH}_4^+ \text{-N]} \]  

(1)

\[ C_{FNA} = \frac{47}{14} \frac{C_{NO_2^-}}{\exp \left( -\frac{2300}{273 + T} \right) + 10^{pH}} \text{ [mg/dm}^3 \text{ HNO}_2] \]  

(2)

where \( C_{NH_4^+} \) and \( C_{NO_2^-} \) represent the actual total concentrations of \( NH_4^+ \)-N and \( NO_2^- \)-N, respectively, and \( T \) is the temperature in centigrade scale.

Representations of \([NO_2^-]-N\) and \([NO_3^-]-N\) (%) were calculated using \( C_{NO_2^-} \) and \( C_{NO_3^-} \) concentration in mg/dm³

\[ \left[ NO_2^- - N \right] = 100 \frac{C_{NO_2^-}}{C_{NO_2^-} + C_{NO_3^-}} \]  

(3)

\[ \left[ NO_3^- - N \right] = 100 \frac{C_{NO_3^-}}{C_{NO_2^-} + C_{NO_3^-}} \]  

(4)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

*Evaluation of process stability according to pH value.* The pH value is a good indicator of short-cut nitrification process stability under the conditions applied within the described experiment. The activated sludge mixture is acidified as a consequence of AOB activity during the nitrification process when applied to high nitrogen loaded wastewater [21]. As a consequence, significant pH fluctuations can be recorded during the operational cycle of our SBR system treating reject water in cases where stable partial nitritation took place in this system in accordance with [17].

During the operation of the reactor at 24.3 °C (operational periods 1, 3, 5 and 7), pH in the reactor ranged between 6.9 and 7.9 at the beginning of the SBR cycle and between 4.7 and 6.5 at its end (Fig. 1). These relatively large differences indicate intensive AOB activity and stable partial nitritation. However, after a sudden decrease in temperature from 24.3 °C to 14.3 °C (day 14, operational period 2), a rapid increase in pH to 8.9 was monitored, while no significant differences in pH were recorded during the SBR cycle between days 21 and 41. As late as day 42 (28 days after the start of
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period 2), pH at the beginning and at the end of the cycle started to differ again. This indicates a disruption to partial nitritation stability and its gradual return to the original intensity during period 2. A similar development related to pH was recorded during operational period 6 after a decrease in temperature to 15.8 °C. After a decrease in temperature from 24.3 °C to 17.4 °C (operational period 4), this effect was not observed (Fig. 1). During the gradual decrease in temperature from 24.3 °C (operational period 8), the difference between pH at the beginning and at the end of the cycle was reduced with a falling temperature. However, significant differences between the start and end of the cycle were monitored until a temperature of 12.7 °C was reached. Under these conditions, on day 392 pH ranged between 8.8 and 8.9 throughout the entire day, indicating a disruption to process stability. Therefore, no additional decreases in temperature were realized within phase 8 and the experiment was terminated.

![Fig. 1. pH at the beginning and at the end of the SBR cycle during operational periods 1–8](image)

**Evaluation of process stability according to the concentrations of nitrogen compounds in the effluent.** NO$_2$-N is the final product of partial nitritation. Therefore, its actual concentration in the effluent can be considered a suitable parameter for evaluating the stability of partial nitritation. Simultaneously, the ratio of NO$_2$-N to NH$_4$+N concentration can be used for this purpose. The alkalinity of used reject water enables the conversion of ca. 50–60% of NH$_4$-N contained in the reject water to NO$_2$-N without pH control so long as the process is not limited by other factors [9]. Considering the fact that NH$_4$+N was converted primarily to NO$_2$-N without intensive NO$_3$-N production, a significant decrease of the NO$_2$-N:NH$_4$+N ratio below 1.0 may indicate a decrease in AOB activity. Under these conditions, the disruption of partial nitritation stability may be signalled by a decrease in the NO$_2$-N:NH$_4$-N ratio.
NO\textsubscript{2}--N concentration ranged between 230 and 680 mg/dm\textsuperscript{3} throughout the entire reactor operation (Fig. 2). The lowest concentrations were recorded after a temperature decrease at operational periods 2 and 6, indicating instability of the treatment process. Consequently, the amount of NO\textsubscript{2}--N in the reactor effluent gradually increased during these periods, indicating gradual re-activation of AOBs. Simultaneously, the average ratio of NO\textsubscript{2}--N to NH\textsubscript{4}+-N concentration was lower during operational periods 2 (0.56) and 6 (0.67) compared with periods 1 (1.0), 3 (0.95), 5 (0.81) and 7 (0.95). No significant changes in concentration of nitrogen species were recorded during period 8 until day 388. However, a decrease in NO\textsubscript{2}--N from 520 to 352 mg/dm\textsuperscript{3} (Fig. 2) and simultaneous fall of the ratio of NO\textsubscript{2}--N to NH\textsubscript{4}+-N concentration from 0.92 to 0.59 was observed after the decrease in temperature to 12.7 °C between days 386 and 392.

Unexpectedly, the above mentioned decreases in NO\textsubscript{2}--N concentration after temperature falls (periods 2, 6 and end of period 8) were not combined with an obvious simultaneous increase in NH\textsubscript{4}+-N concentration (Fig. 2). The representation of volatile FA within NH\textsubscript{4}+-N increases rapidly upon increasing pH [18]. In accordance with this statement, FA concentration increased significantly during period 2, 6 and 8 (Figs. 1 and 3) and it is necessary to consider the possibility of intensive stripping of FA during these periods in an intensively aerated system. This hypothesis was confirmed by the decrease in nitrogen concentration during these phases. For example, during day 251 (period 6), total inorganic nitrogen concentration in the effluent (the sum of NH\textsubscript{4}+-N, NO\textsubscript{2}--N and NO\textsubscript{3}--N) reached 620 mg N/dm\textsuperscript{3} at an NH\textsubscript{4}+-N input concentration of 1020 mg NH\textsubscript{4}+/dm\textsuperscript{3} indicating that almost 40% of the nitrogen was stripped out. Simultaneously, no significant nitrogen loss was observed during the stable partial nitritation (e.g. periods 1, 3 and 5). Considering the fact that ammonia was removed not only by partial nitritation but also by an additional mechanism during the selected periods of reactor operation, NH\textsubscript{4}+-N
removal efficiency seems not to be sufficiently objective for the purpose of comparing partial nitritation stability during individual periods of reactor operation.

*FA and FNA concentrations and their effect on the treatment process.* Development of FA and FNA concentrations during the experiment is recorded in Figs. 3 and 4.

![Fig. 3](image)

*Fig. 3. Concentration of FA at the beginning and at the end of the SBR cycle*

![Fig. 4](image)

*Fig. 4. Concentration of FNA at the beginning and at the end of the SBR cycle*

FA concentration reached its maximum at the beginning of the SBR cycle (3–20 mg NH$_3$/dm$^3$), while FNA concentration increased during the cycle, reaching its maximum at the end of the cycle (up to 61 mg HNO$_2$/dm$^3$) in the case of stable operation of partial nitritation in accordance with [17]. NOB activity was suppressed due to FA and/or FNA
inhibition, while AOB activity was preserved under these conditions thanks to their higher resistance [18]. An interesting observation is that the activity of AOB was also sufficient for successful partial nitritation at extremely high values of FNA at the end of the cycle in periods 3, 5 and 7 (Fig. 4). This could be caused by the adaptation of the microorganisms to the prevailing conditions within the reactor [1]. On the other hand, the finding that only ca. 50% of NH$_4$-N was removed by AOB during periods with stable partial nitritation (periods 1, 3, 4, 5, 7) indicates that AOB were in some way inhibited at a certain FNA concentration with pH gradually decreasing and FNA concentration gradually increasing during the SBR cycle. Consequently, they were probably reactivated at the beginning of the subsequent cycle in connection with a pH increase and simultaneous FNA concentration decrease. This hypothesis is in accordance with the results of literature source [28] evaluating the effect of pH and FNA on the activity of AOB in a partial nitritation reactor. Significant increases in pH throughout the whole cycle of the SBR recorded during selected phases of periods 2, 6 and 8 (Fig. 1) resulted in an extreme increase in FA concentration. For example, as much as 150 mg/dm$^3$ FA was measured on day 21 (period 2, Fig. 3). Therefore, AOB activity limited primarily by a decrease in temperature was also inhibited secondarily by FA [18]. This probably prolonged the period required for the recovery of satisfactory AOB activity during phases 2 and 6. A significant increase in FA concentration (up to 122 mg NH$_3$/dm$^3$ at the beginning of the cycle) was also detected after the decrease in temperature to 12.7 °C realised within period 8, resulting in a similar secondary inhibition effect.

**Representation of NO$_2$-N and NO$_3$-N within oxidized forms of nitrogen.** NO$_2$-N represented 86–98% of oxidized nitrogen (the sum of NO$_2$-N and NO$_3$-N) in the effluent from the reactor for the whole reactor operation. This suggests effective and long-term restriction of NOB activity. The literature generally indicates the accumulation of nitrites is supported by higher temperatures [29]. However, even the temperature decreases

![Fig. 5. Representation of NO$_3$-N between oxidized forms of nitrogen](image_url)
realized within periods 2, 4, 6 and 8 did not induce a significant increase in NO$_3$-N effluent concentration within this study. To the contrary, the representation of NO$_3$-N between nitrogen oxidized forms decreased with decreasing temperatures (Fig. 5). Simultaneously, a certain increase in the representation of NO$_3$-N within oxidized nitrogen, indicating an undesirable increase of NOB activity, was repeatedly recorded after temperature increases (periods 3, 5, 7) compared to previous periods with decreased temperature (periods 2, 4, 6). For example, the representation of NO$_3$-N increased from 3 to 14% during period 5 (Fig. 5). The strong inhibition of NOB activity (due to extreme FA concentration increases during periods 2, 6 and 8 and consequently decreases during periods 3, 4, 5 and 7) could be the main factor causing this fact. Also, the faster growth rate of NOB under higher temperatures [30] could support this phenomenon. In all cases, the representation of NO$_3$-N within oxidized forms of nitrogen did not exceed 14% during the whole reactor operation time and the fluctuation of NO$_3$-N representation had no fatal effect on the process performance.

4. CONCLUSIONS

It was found that a sudden decrease in temperature from 24.3 °C to 17.4 °C did not affect the stability of partial nitritation used for reject water treatment under the conditions applied within the described experiment, indicating relatively good temperature stability within the tested system. In addition, during a gradual temperature decrease, the system was stable until 12.7 °C. Based on these findings, the operation of full-scale reactors treating reject water without temperature control under the conditions described in this study seems to be achievable. Quick decreases in temperature from 24.3 °C to 14.3 and 15.8 °C caused significant disruptions to process stability indicating that too intense and sudden decreases in temperature may deteriorate the performance of the process on a relatively long-term basis, even at a temperature higher than the value acceptable in the case of its gradual decrease. The secondary inhibition effect induced by elevated concentrations of FA may support the primary restriction of AOB activity caused by a decrease in temperature with the potential to significantly prolong the phase of deteriorated function of the system. However, the ability of AOB to adapt to low temperature values was proven by gradual restoration of the stability of partial nitritation even after sudden a decrease in temperature from 24.3 to 14.3 °C. Therefore, long term operation at a lowered temperature seems to be achievable. However, the ability of AOB to adapt to low temperature were proved by gradual restoration of the stability of partial nitritation even after sudden decrease of temperature from 24.3 to 14.3 °C. Therefore, long term operation at lowered temperature seems to be achievable. The results of this paper are fully applicable for the reactors applying partial nitritation as the first stage before independent anammox reactor. Simultaneously, basic findings could
be used for the control of reactors applying full nitritation within the system for nitritation/denitrification operated in two separated reactors. For objective evaluation of temperature stability of one-step systems of nitritation/denitrification and partial nitritation/anammox additional research is needed.
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